DESIGNATION OF MATTER TO BE INCLUDED IN THE RECORD ON APPEAL

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Case No. 2020-001445

RECEIVED

FEB 03 2021

Mr. Randy Gilchrist and Mrs. Cheryl Gilchrist

Appellants

v.

PSCSC Clerks Office

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Respondent

DESIGNATION OF MATTER TO BE INCLUDED IN THE RECORD ON APPEAL

Mr. and Mrs. Randy Gilchrist 3010 Lake Keowee Lane Seneca, SC 29672 Phone: 864.903.0375 Appearing Pro Per/Appellants

Attorneys for Respondent, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC:

Samuel J. Wellborn, Esq. Heather Shirley Smith, Esq. Katie Michelle Brown, Esq.

Attorneys for Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Robinson Gray Stepp & Laffitte, LLC P.O. Box 11449 Columbia, SC 29211

Appellants propose the following be included in the Record on Appeal:

- A. Copy of individual complaint form filed with the Public Service

 Commission of South Carolina
- B. Public Service Commission Directives/Orders
 - 1) Docket No. 2019-230-E, Order No. 2019-686 dated Sept. 25, 2019

 Directive
 - 2) Docket No. 2020-147-E dated July 29, 2020
 Directive
 - Order Dismissing Complaint, Docket No. 2020-147-E
 Order No. 2020-562 dated August 24, 2020
 - Order Denying Rehearing, Docket No. 2020-147-E,
 Order No. 2020-644 dated October 1, 2020
- C. Correspondence between Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Public

 Service Commission of South Carolina, and Mr. and Mrs. Randy and

 Cheryl Gilchrist
 - Fax dated 7-3-2017 from Randy Gilchrist to Duke Energy –
 Requests Smart Meter to be replaced with analog meter
 - 2) Letter from Duke Energy to Ralph Gilchrist dated April 10, 2018 Duke Energy letter announcing upgrade and opt-out option and regarding threat to install meter without customer's consent
 - 3) Letter from Duke Energy to Ralph Gilchrist dated May 18, 2018 -

- Includes hand-outs/fact sheets from Duke Energy, explaining Smart Meters; includes admissions that Smart Meters do in fact have privacy issues
- 4) Letter from Ralph Gilchrist to Duke Energy dated June 7, 2018 Gilchrists' notice of no consent and includes notice of liability
- 5) Letter from Ralph Gilchrist to Duke Energy dated July 18, 2018 Demands removal of all digital electric meters; Includes notice of no consent and notice of liability
- 6) Letter from Randy Gilchrist to Duke Energy dated Aug. 25, 2018 -Notice of right of self-defense and demand to cease and desist
- 7) Affidavit of Randy Gilchrist to Duke Energy Corporation dated Oct. 4, 2018
- 8) Copy of door hanger card left by Duke Energy at the residence, the subject of this case, threatening disconnect if customer fails to consent
- 9) Letter from Randy and Cheryl Gilchrist to Senator Thomas Alexander dated Nov. 24, 2018 -Discusses installation demands by Duke Energy over objections of Randy and Cheryl Gilchrist
- 10)Letter from Duke Energy to Ralph Gilchrist dated Dec. 4, 2018 -Explains Duke Energy wants to upgrade meters and threatens to disconnect service if Gilchrists do not consent ("Final Notice") Letter from Randy and Cheryl Gilchrist to Duke Energy dated Dec. 14, 2018 - Regarding threatened cut-off of electricity

- 11) Letter from Randy and Cheryl Gilchrist to Duke Energy datedOct. 23, 2019 Regarding Smart Meter installation
- 12)Letter from Duke Energy to Mr. and Mrs. Randy Gilchrist dated

 Nov. 11, 2019 Explains the benefits of smart meters and

 acknowledges that a meter was installed on October 10, 2019,

 without the consent of the Gilchrists
- 13)Letter from Mr. and Mrs. Randy Gilchrist to Public Service

 Commission of South Carolina dated Nov. 29, 2019 —

 Regarding Duke's Smart Meter installation over our objections
- 14) Letter from Duke Energy to Mr. Brad Kirby, Investigator, Office of Regulatory Staff, dated Dec. 12, 2019 –
 - Ignores privacy concerns
 - •Informs Gilchrists of option to opt-out for a fee
- D. Motions and Correspondence with Public Service Commission of SC
 - Randy and Cheryl Gilchrist's Objection to Defendant Duke
 Energy Carolinas, LLC, Motion to Dismiss and Plaintiff's Demand
 for a Hearing, Docket No. 2020-147-E dated July 13, 2020
 - 2) Randy and Cheryl Gilchrists' letter to David Stark, Hearing Examiner for the Public Service Commission of SC dated July 18, 2020 –

Requests a hearing; Includes copy of letter from Duke Energy's

Attorney to David Stark, Hearing Examiner for the Public Service

of SC dated July 14, 2020

- 3) Randy and Cheryl Gilchrist's second Objection to Defendant Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Motion to Dismiss and Plaintiff's second Demand for a Hearing, Docket No. 2020-147-E dated July 24, 2020 –
 - Includes Duke Energy's Motion to Dismiss dated July 20, 2020
- 4) Randy and Cheryl Gilchrist's Petition for Rehearing to the Public Service Commission dated August 6, 2020 – Commission informed Gilchrists that this petition was filed prematurely. So, on August 27, 2020, Gilchrists refiled their petition for rehearing
- 5) Randy and Cheryl Gilchrist's letter to Jocelyn G. Boyd, Chief Clerk/Executive Director of the Public Service Commission of SC dated Sept. 20, 2020 – References a letter from Duke Energy attorneys, dated Sept. 15, 2020, and again requests a hearing
- 6) Randy and Cheryl Gilchrist's letter to Jocelyn C. Boyd, Chief

 Clerk/Exec. Dir. Public Service Commission of SC which requests

 transcripts

E. Transcripts

- Transcript/Minutes of Utilities Agenda Item #2, Commission
 Business Meeting dated July 29, 2020 –
 Commission members vote to dismiss Gilchrist's complaint
- 2) Transcript/Minutes of Utilities Agenda Item #9, Commission Business Meeting dated Sept. 16, 2020 –

Commission members vote to deny rehearing of Gilchrist's complaint

- F. Copy of Constructive Contract posted on Gilchrist's meter box
 - Informs anyone that any attempt to install a smart meter without consent of the owner subjects the company to major liability and obligation to the property owner
 - 2) Carpenter vs. United States, a United States Supreme Court ruling on the Fourth Amendment Privacy Rights regarding data collection
 - a) Privacy Attorneys Ropes & Gray: Analysis of Supreme Court's decision of Carpenter vs. United States regarding Fourth Amendment implications of data collection
 - b) National Security Agency (NSA) Cyber Security Information Sheet, discusses data collection devices and privacy issues
 - c) Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) document dated Jan. 15, 2021, discusses the Carpenter vs. United States case and the collection of data

G) Articles

- European Molecular Micro Biology Organization –
 Privacy, publicity and security: the American context:
 Privacy is not only a right but also an obligation
- 2) Motherboard -

Your Smart Electricity Meter Can Easily Spy On You, Court

Ruling Warns describes a 7th Circuit Court of Appeals ruling that

Page 6 of 9

the Fourth Amendment does in fact protect energy consumption data collected by smart meters, relying heavily on KYLLO vs.

United States precedent

3) PPJ Gazette -

Regarding smart meters there is no federal mandate

4) Smart Meter Data Belongs to the Customer -

"Texas Utilities Code, Sec. 39.107(b) states (in relevant part):

All meter data, including all data generated, provided, or
otherwise made available, by advanced meters and meter
information networks, shall belong to a customer, including
data used to calculate charges for service, historical load data,
and any other proprietary customer information."

5) <u>Duke Energy accounts hacked</u>

Published by Associated Press Dec. 6, 2017

- 6) <u>Duke agreed to pay record fine for lax security</u>
 Published E & E News reporters Feb. 1, 2019
- 7) <u>Seventh Circuit decision says 4th Amendment applies to smart</u>
 <u>meter data</u>

Published by Technocracy News

8) The Indiana Lawyer –

Analysis of $7^{\rm th}$ Circuit ruling regarding smart meters and Fourth Amendment, March 5, 2019

H. Cases

- 1) Syllabus Supreme Court of the United States

 Carpenter vs. United States, No. 16 402, June 22, 2018
- 2) United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit Naperville Smart Meter Awareness v. City of Naperville No. 16 – 3766, August 16, 2018

Certification of Matter to be Included in the Record on Appeal

I certify that this designation contains no matter which is irrelevant to this appeal.

Feb 1 , 2021

Randy and Cheryl Gilchrist Appearing Pro Per/Appellant

Randy Gilchrist

Cheryl Gilchrist

Mr. and Mrs. Randy Gilchrist 3010 Lake Keowee Lane Seneca, SC 29672 Phone: 864.903.0375

Parties of Record:

Honorable Jocelyn C. Boyd, Chief Clerk/Executive Director Public Service Commission of South Carolina 101 Executive Center Drive Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Attorneys for Respondent, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC:

Samuel J. Wellborn, Esq. Heather Shirley Smith, Esq. Katie Michelle Brown, Esq.

Robinson Gray Stepp & Laffitte, LLC P.O. Box 11449 Columbia, SC 29211

PROOF OF SERVICE FOR DESIGNATION OF MATTER TO BE INCLUDED IN THE RECORD ON APPEAL

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Case No. 2020-001445	
Mr. Randy Gilchrist and Mrs. Cheryl Gi	ilchrist
Appellants	
v.	
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	
Respondent	
PROOF OF SERVICE FOR DESIGNATION OF MATTER TO BE	
INCLUDED IN THE RECORD ON APPEAL	
We certify that we have served a	copy of the Designation of Matter to
be Included in the Record on Appeal on all the parties of record by depositing	
it in the United States mail, postage pro	e-paid on <u>Feb</u> , 2021,
sent to the addresses below.	
1 /	Randy and Cheryl Gilchrist Appearing Pro Per/Appellant
Randy Gilchrist	Lee Delchist Cheryl Gilchrist

Mr. and Mrs. Randy Gilchrist 3010 Lake Keowee Lane Seneca, SC 29672 Phone: 864.903.0375

Parties of Record:

Honorable Jocelyn C. Boyd, Chief Clerk/Executive Director Public Service Commission of South Carolina 101 Executive Center Drive Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Attorneys for Respondent, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC:

Samuel J. Wellborn, Esq. Heather Shirley Smith, Esq. Katie Michelle Brown, Esq.

Robinson Gray Stepp & Laffitte, LLC P.O. Box 11449 Columbia, SC 29211